### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HEATHER HANNA, Case No. Plaintiff, PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT FOR (Unlawful Debt Collection Practices) CAPITAL ONE BANK (USA), N.A., 6 Defendant.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

#### **COMPLAINT**

HEATHER HANNA ("Plaintiff"), by her attorneys, alleges the following against CAPITAL ONE BANK (USA), N.A. ("Defendant"):

1. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of herself individually seeking damages and any other available legal or equitable remedies resulting from the illegal actions of Defendant, in negligently, knowingly, and/or willfully contacting Plaintiff on Plaintiff's cellular telephone in violation of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (hereinafter "TCPA"), 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq., and the Texas Fair Debt Collection Practices Act (hereinafter "TFDCPA"), TX FIN § 392.00 et. seg.

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 2. Defendant conducts business in the state of Texas, and therefore, personal jurisdiction is established. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1391(b)(2).
- 3. Jurisdiction of this Court arises under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 47 U.S.C. §227(b)(3). See, Mims v. Arrow Financial Services, LLC, 132 S.Ct. 740 (2012), holding that federal and state courts have concurrent jurisdiction over private suits arising under the TCPA.

4. Venue is proper in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas pursuant to 28 U.S.C § 1391(b) because Plaintiff resides within this District and a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the herein claims occurred, or a substantial part of property that is the subject of the action is situated within this District.

#### **PARTIES**

- Plaintiff is a natural person residing in the county of Harris, in the city of Houston,
   Texas.
- 6. Defendant is a Virginia corporation doing business in the State of Texas.
- 7. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Defendant has acted through its agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heir, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives and insurers.

#### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 8. Defendant placed collection calls to Plaintiff seeking and attempting to collect on alleged debts owed by Plaintiff.
- 9. Defendant placed collection calls to Plaintiff's cellular telephone at phone number (972) 217-95XX.
- 10. Defendant placed collection calls to Plaintiff from phone numbers including, but not limited to, (800) 955-6600.
- 11. Per its prior business practices, Defendant's calls were placed with an automated telephone dialing system ("auto-dialer").
- 12. Defendant used an "automatic telephone dialing system", as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 227(a)(1) to place its telephone calls to Plaintiff seeking to collect a consumer debt allegedly owed by Plaintiff, HEATHER HANNA.

- 13. Defendant's calls constituted calls that were not for emergency purposes as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A).
- 14. Defendant's calls were placed to a telephone number assigned to a cellular telephone service for which Plaintiff incurs a charge for incoming calls pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1).
- 15. Defendant never received Plaintiff's "prior express consent" to receive calls using an automatic telephone dialing system or an artificial or prerecorded voice on her cellular telephone pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A).
- 16. On July 21, 2017, Plaintiff called into Defendant's company at phone number (800) 955-6600. Plaintiff spoke with Defendant's male representative "Andrew" and requested that Defendant cease calling Plaintiff's cellular phone.
- 17. Plaintiff revoked any consent, explicit, implied, or otherwise, to call her cellular telephone and/or to receive Defendant's calls using an automatic telephone dialing system in her conversation with Defendant's representative on July 21, 2017.
- 18. Despite Plaintiff's requests to cease, Defendant continued to place collection calls to Plaintiff through August 30, 2017.
- 19. Despite Plaintiff's request that Defendant cease placing automated collection calls, Defendant placed at least forty (40) automated calls to Plaintiff's cell phone.

///

///

///

///

. ||

## FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION NEGLIGENT VIOLATIONS OF THE TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT 47 U.S.C. § 227

- 20. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates by reference into this cause of action the allegations set forth above at Paragraphs 1-19.
- 21. The foregoing acts and omissions of Defendant constitute numerous and multiple negligent violations of the TCPA, including but not limited to each and every one of the above cited provisions of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq.
- 22. As a result of Defendant's negligent violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq., Plaintiff is entitled to an award of \$500.00 in statutory damages, for each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. §227(b)(3)(B).
- 23. Plaintiff is also entitled to seek injunctive relief prohibiting such conduct in the future.

# SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION KNOWING AND/OR WILLFUL VIOLATIONS OF THE TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT 47 U.S.C. § 227 et. seq.

- 24. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates by reference into this cause of action the allegations set forth above at Paragraphs 1-19.
- 25. The foregoing acts and omissions of Defendant constitute numerous and multiple knowing and/or willful violations of the TCPA, including but not limited to each and every one of the above cited provisions of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq.
- 26. As a result of Defendant's knowing and/or willful violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq., Plaintiff is entitled an award of \$1,500.00 in statutory damages, for each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B) and 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(C).
- 27. Plaintiff is also entitled to seek injunctive relief prohibiting such conduct in the future.

#### THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION 1 DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE TFDCPA TX FIN § 392.00 et. seq. 2 29. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates by reference into this cause of action the allegations set 3 forth above at Paragraphs 1-19. 4 30. Defendants violated TFDCPA § 392. Defendants' violations of TFDCPA § 392 include, 5 but are not limited to the following: 6 a. Defendants violated TFDCPA § 392.302(4) by causing Plaintiff's telephone to 7 ring repeatedly or continuously and making repeated or continuous telephone 8 calls, with the intent to harass a person at the called number. 9 PRAYER FOR RELIEF 10 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, HEATHER HANNA, respectfully requests judgment be 11 entered against Defendant, CAPITAL ONE BANK (USA), N.A., for the following: 12 FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION 13 29. For statutory damages of \$500.00 multiplied by the number of TCPA violations alleged 14 herein (40): \$20,000.00. 15 30. Actual damages and compensatory damages according to proof at time of trial; 16 SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION 17 31. For statutory damages of \$1,500.00 multiplied by the number of TCPA violations alleged 18 herein (40): \$60,000.00; 32. Actual damages and compensatory damages according to proof at time of trial; 19 20 THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION 33. Declaratory judgment that Defendant's conduct violated the Texas Fair Debt Collection 21 22 Practices Act: 23 34. Statutory damages of \$100.00; 35. Actual damages and compensatory damages according to proof at time of trial. 24 36. Costs and reasonable attorney's fees at time of trial. 25

1	ON ALL CAUSES OF ACTION
2	37. Actual damages and compensatory damages according to proof at time of trial;
3	38. Costs and reasonable attorneys' fees;
4	39. Any other relief that this Honorable Court deems appropriate.
5	JURY TRIAL DEMAND
6	40. Plaintiff demands a jury trial on all issues so triable.
7	
8	
9	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,
10	DATED: November 30, 2017
11	
12	Cozmyk Law Offices LLC
13	Dur /g/ Patan Commula
14	By: /s/ Peter Cozmyk Peter Cozmyk
15	Attorney for Plaintiff Cozmyk Law Offices, LLC
16	6100 Oak Tree Blvd, Suite 200 Independence, OH 44131
17	PCozmyk@cozmyklaw.com 440-292-7672
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	